

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION

CIGNA CORPORATION,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	No. 4:23-CV-93 RLW
)	
AMY BRICKER, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER SETTING RULE 16 CONFERENCE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that all counsel and self-represented parties in this matter shall be familiar with the Court's Local Rules, CM/ECF Procedures Manual, and this Court's Judge's Requirements (available on the Court's website, www.moed.uscourts.gov).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

1. **Scheduling Conference**: A scheduling conference pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 is set for **Thursday, July 13, 2023**, at **1:30 p.m. by telephone** (Dial 1-888-278-0296, Access Code 5718240). At the scheduling conference counsel will be expected to discuss in detail all matters covered by Fed. R. Civ. P. 16, as well as all matters set forth in their joint proposed scheduling plan described in paragraph 3, and a firm and realistic trial setting will be established at or shortly after the conference.

2. **Meeting of Counsel**: Prior to the date for submission of the joint proposed scheduling plan set forth in paragraph 3 below, counsel for the parties shall meet to discuss the following:

- the nature and basis of the parties' claims and defenses,
- the possibilities for a prompt settlement or resolution of the case,
- the formulation of a discovery plan,
- any issues relating to preserving discoverable information,

- any issues relating to disclosure or discovery of electronically stored information (“ESI”), including:
 - (i) preservation of ESI,
 - (ii) the form or forms in which ESI should be produced,
 - (iii) the topics for such discovery and the time period for which such discovery will be sought,
 - (iv) the various sources of such information within a party’s control that should be searched for ESI, and
 - (v) whether the ESI is reasonably accessible to the party that has it, in terms of the burden and cost of retrieving and reviewing the information,
- whether alternative dispute resolution might facilitate disposition of the case and which form (early neutral evaluation or mediation) is preferable, and
- other topics listed below or in Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 and 26(f).

Counsel will be asked to report orally on the matters discussed at this meeting when they appear for the scheduling conference, and will specifically be asked to report on the potential for settlement; whether settlement demands or offers have been exchanged, without revealing the content of any offers or demands; and suitability for Alternative Dispute Resolution. This meeting is expected to result in the parties reaching agreement on the form and content of a joint proposed scheduling plan as described in paragraph 3 below.

Only one proposed scheduling plan may be submitted in any case, and it must be signed by counsel for all parties. It will be the responsibility of counsel for the Plaintiff(s) to actually submit the joint proposed scheduling plan to the Court. If the parties cannot agree as to any matter required to be contained in the joint plan, the disagreement must be set out clearly in the joint proposal, and the Court will resolve the dispute at or shortly after the scheduling conference.

3. **Joint Proposed Scheduling Plan:** No later than **Thursday, July 6, 2023**, counsel shall file with the Clerk of the Court a joint proposed scheduling plan. **All dates required to be set forth in the plan shall be within the ranges set forth below for the applicable track:**

Track 1: Expedited

*Disposition w/i 12 mos of filing

*120 days for discovery

Track 2: Standard

*Disposition w/i 18 mos of filing

*180-240 days from R16 Conf. for
discovery/dispositive motions

Track 3: Complex

*Disposition w/i 24 mos of filing

*240-360 days from R16 Conf.
for discovery/dispositive motions

The parties' joint proposed scheduling plan shall include:

(a) whether the Track Assignment is appropriate; **NOTE: This case has been preliminarily assigned to Track 2: (Standard).**

(b) dates for joinder of additional parties or amendment of pleadings;

(c) a discovery plan including:

(i) any agreed-upon provisions for disclosure or discovery of electronically stored information,

(ii) a date or dates by which the parties will disclose information and exchange documents pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1),

(iii) how remaining discovery in this case should be conducted,

(iv) whether the presumptive limits of ten (10) depositions per side as set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(a)(2)(A), and twenty-five (25) interrogatories per party as set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a), should apply in this case and, if not, the limits that should be imposed and the reasons for the variance from the rules,

(v) dates by which each party shall disclose its expert witnesses' identities and reports, and dates by which each party shall make its expert witnesses available for deposition or by which they shall be deposed, see Rule 26(a)(2), Fed. R. Civ. P., giving consideration to whether serial or simultaneous disclosure is appropriate in the case, and whether rebuttal experts are anticipated,

(vi) whether any physical or mental examinations of parties will be requested pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 35 and, if so, by what date that request will be made and by what date the examination will be completed,

(vii) a date by which all discovery will be completed (**see applicable track range, Section 3. above**);

(viii) any other matters pertinent to the completion of discovery in this case, such as dates for the filing of any Daubert motions;

(d) the parties' positions concerning the referral of the action to mediation or early neutral evaluation, and when such a referral would be most productive;


(e) dates for filing any motions to dismiss or motions for summary judgment (**see applicable track range, Section 3. above**);

(f) the earliest date by which this case should reasonably be expected to be ready for trial (see applicable track range, Section 3. above);

(g) an estimate of the length of time expected to try the case to verdict; and

(h) any other matters counsel deem appropriate for inclusion in the Joint Scheduling Plan.

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(f)(1)-(2), 37(b)(2)(A)(ii)-(vii), and Local Rule 5.03, failure to comply with any aspect of this Order may result in the imposition of sanctions, including but not limited to the imposition of monetary sanctions on parties or counsel, dismissal of the action, entry of a default judgment, or restrictions on the admissibility of certain evidence.



RONNIE L. WHITE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated this 12th day of June, 2023.